



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/020,388	12/14/2001	William Salkewicz	4906.P001D	4091
8791	7590	10/12/2006	EXAMINER	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			VU, THONG H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2142	

DATE MAILED: 10/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/020,388	SALKEWICZ, WILLIAM	
	Examiner Thong H. Vu	Art Unit 2142	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 September 2006.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 6-54 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 6-54 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.

1. Claims 6-46 and new claims 47-54 are pending. Claims 1 – 5 were canceled.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 9/25/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive to overcome the prior art.

Claim 29, Applicant argues the prior art does not teach or suggest:

a network device including an electronic memory encoded with multiple respective virtual routers in the memory, said respective virtual routers including corresponding respective network databases which include respective control information, said respective virtual routers respectively including at least one respective network interface to a respective network domain;

respective subscriber records in an electronic memory that include respective information as to network domains to which respective subscriber end stations of respective subscribers **may be** bound;

multiple respective sub-interface data structures in the electronic memory respectively associated with respective subscribers;

a computer program in electronic memory that searches respective subscriber records to identify respective network domains that may be accessed by respective subscriber ends stations of respective subscribers; and

respective binding data structures that respectively bind respective sub-interface data structures associated with respective subscribers to respective network interfaces to respective network domains identified from searching respective subscriber records.

Examiner points out the prior art taught

- A network device = a CPU and memory [Dobbin Fig 24], a host [Fig 17];
- A multiple virtual router [Dobbins, a VLAN switch =a virtual router with a virtual router directory represents a multiple virtual VLANs or virtual routers, Fig 19];
- subscriber records = a virtual directory always has the complete mapping of all known users within the domain [Dobbins, col 2 lines 66-67];
- binding data structures = dynamic binding the high level IP address to a low level IP address [Dobbins, col 12 lines 40-53];

Claim 24, Applicant argues the prior art does not teach or suggest:

- end stations and multiple network domains ;
- virtual routers respectively each including at least one respective network interface for a respective network domain ;
- sub-interface data structures ;
- searching respective subscriber records to identify respective network domains ;

Examiner points out the prior art taught

- end stations and multiple network domains [Dobbins, Fig 20];
- virtual routers respectively each including at least one respective network interface for a respective network domain [Dobbins, VLAN switches =virtual routers, network interface= channel, port, col 12 lines 54-64];

Art Unit: 2142

- sub-interface data structures [Cisco, sub-interface, page 1];
- searching respective subscriber records to identify respective network domains [Dobbins, searches its route table = records to identify the address = domain, col 24 lines 50-55];

Claim 18, Applicant argues the prior art does not teach or suggest:

- a first virtual router , first network interface , a second virtual router which includes second network interface;
- first sub-interface data structure
- second sub-interface data structure
- binding the at least one first network interface to the at least one first sub-interface data structure; and
- binding the at least one second network interface to the at least one second sub- interface data structure.

Examiner points out the prior art taught

- a first virtual router , first network interface , a second virtual router which includes second network interface [Dobbins, multiple VLANs, col 10 line 53];
- first sub-interface data structure, second sub-interface data structure [Dobbins, first switch, second switch, col 9 lines 9-50, Fig 1;

Art Unit: 2142

- binding [Dobbins, binding, col 12 lines 40-53] the at least one first network

interface to the at least one first sub interface data structure [Cisco, sub-interface, Page 1]; and

- binding [Dobbins, binding, col 12 lines 40-53] the at least one second network

interface to the at least one second sub-interface data structure][Cisco, sub-interface, Page 1].

Claims 6,8,10 [see response arguments of claims 18,24,29].

Claim 39, Applicant argues the prior art does not teach or suggest:

- a single network device ;
- share a set of physical resources ;

Examiner points out the prior art taught

- a single network device [Dobbins, a host, Fig 17]
- share a set of physical resources [Dobbins, fast routing servers, col 21 lines 15-21].

Claim 31, Applicant argues the prior art does not teach or suggest:

-virtual bridge;

Examiner points out the prior art taught

-virtual bridge = virtual router, bridge network [Dobbins, col 8 lines 29; virtual router, col 6 lines 50].

Claim 33,35,37 Applicant argues the prior art does not teach or suggest:

-multiple virtual routers within a single network device;

Examiner points out the prior art taught

-multiple virtual routers within a single network device [Dobbins, multiple VLANs, col 10 line 53; and a host, Fig 17]. It's clearly that a node or host or server having a software which generates a multiple virtual routers for management was well-known in the art. Moreover, a subject matter (a software which generates a multiple virtual routers) stored in single one or more device is unpatentable.

Rejection Clarification

3. Applicant request to clarification between the Cisco-Radius Commands and Cisco-ATM Commands is not appropriate since these limitations are not exist in claim language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

i.e.: subscribers **may be** bound

Art Unit: 2142

5. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. (i.e.: a first physical interface. It's obvious to a network device has a network interface as the first network interface.)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Dobbins et al [Dobbins, 5,825,772] in view of Cisco et al [Radius Commands].

6. Claim 31, Dobbins-Cisco disclose A network device comprising:
at least one processor; memory; I/O [Dobbins, a NMS, Fig 16];
at least one virtual bridge in the memory, said at least one virtual bridge including a network interface [Dobbins, bridges, col 7 lines 55-57];
a sub-interface data structure in the memory [Cisco, sub-interface, page 1]; and
a binding data structure in the memory which binds the network interface to the sub-interface data structure [Dobbins, dynamically bind the high level IP address to a low level IP address col 12 lines 40-53].

7. Claim 32 Dobbins-Cisco disclose the network interface is a layer 2 network interface (i.e.: link layer) [Dobbins, link state switching table, col 15 lines 1-15]; the sub-interface data structure is a layer 2 interface data structure [Cisco, sub-interface, page 1]; and the binding data structure is layer 2/2 binding structure which binds the layer 2 network interface to the layer 2 interface data structure [Dobbins, dynamically bind the high level IP address to a low level IP address col 12 lines 40-53].

8. Claim 33 Dobbins-Cisco disclose An apparatus comprising:
a single network device including, a set of one or more processors [Dobbins, a NMS, Fig 16];
a first physical interface, the first physical interface coupled to a network [Dobbins, a host port, Fig 17];
a machine-readable medium having stored therein a set of instructions to cause the set of one or more processors to instantiate a first virtual router comprising a network interface and a first database [Dobbins, a host agent, management agent, a discovery agent, a VLAN agent, col 20 lines 27-41; with database, Fig 18], to instantiate a second virtual router comprising a network interface and a second database [Dobbins, the precise instance of the database, col 14 lines 47-64], and to bind with a data structure the first virtual router network interface to the first physical interface [Dobbins, bind, col 12 lines 46], wherein the first virtual router routes packets according to the first database within a first network domain (i.e.: a VLAN) through the first virtual router network interface and the first physical interface, and wherein the second virtual router

routes packets according to the second database within a second network domain [Dobbins, multiple VLAN, col 10 line 53; the master switch, packets, database, col 14 lines 17-35].

9. Claim 34 Dobbins-Cisco disclose a second physical interface, the second physical interface coupled to the network, wherein the set of instructions further causes the single network device to bind with another data structure the second virtual router network interface to the second physical interface, and wherein and the second virtual router routes packets through the second virtual router network interface and the second physical interface [Dobbins, bind, col 12 lines 46].

10. Claims 35-38 contain the identical limitations set forth in claims 35-36. Therefore claims 35-38 are rejected for the same rationale set forth in claims 35-36.

11. Claim 39 Dobbins-Cisco disclose An apparatus comprising:
a single network device including, a set of one or more processors [Dobbins, a NMS, Fig 16];
a first plurality of ports to communicate packets of a plurality of subscribers; a second plurality of ports to communicate packets [Dobbins, ports links to client 208, ports links to Router 206, Fig 20]; and
a machine-readable medium having stored therein a set of instructions to

cause the set of processors to, instantiate a plurality of virtual network machines, wherein the plurality of virtual network machines are virtually independent but share a set of physical resources within the single network device [Dobbins, VLAN having full connectivity (sharing broadcast, multicast and unicast) independent of any particular physical location, col 8 lines 17-27],

wherein each of the plurality of virtual network machines is one of a virtual router and a virtual bridge, and wherein each of the plurality of virtual network machines belong to a network domain, receive subscriber records associated with the plurality of subscribers [Dobbins, virtual router, col 6 line 50],

wherein each of the plurality of subscribers are associated with a virtual circuit on one of the first plurality of ports, wherein each of the first and second plurality of ports is associated with one or more sub-interfaces [Cisco, sub-interface, page 1], and

wherein each of the virtual circuits is associated with one of the sub-interfaces associated with the one of the first plurality of ports that the virtual circuit is on [Cisco, sub-interface, page 1], and

dynamically bind a set of one or more network interfaces of each of the virtual network machines to a set of one or more of the sub-interfaces, such that each of the virtual circuits is communicatively coupled with one of said plurality of virtual network machines based on the subscriber record of the subscriber associated with that virtual circuit and such that at least some of the virtual network machines are communicatively coupled to one of the second plurality of ports, wherein the bindings are represented with a plurality of data structures [Dobbins, dynamically bind, col 12 line 46].

12. Claim 40 Dobbins-Cisco disclose the set of instructions further causes the set of processors to retrieve the subscriber records from a server that runs authentication, authorization, and accounting protocols [Dobbins, secure policy, col 17 line 29].
13. Claim 41 Dobbins-Cisco disclose the set of instructions further causes the set of processors to change the binding of one of the virtual circuits to a different one of said plurality of virtual network machines, wherein the binding change is based on the subscriber's subscriber record [Dobbins, bind, col 12 lines 46].
14. Claim 42 Dobbins-Cisco disclose the binding change is based on time of day [Dobbins, ack Timer A4, Fig 9].
15. Claim 43 Dobbins-Cisco disclose the set of instructions further causes the set of processors to, bind one of the network interfaces associated with a first of the plurality of virtual network machines to a sub-interface for a first virtual circuit associated with a first port of one of the first and second plurality of ports [Dobbins, first switch and second switch, col 9 lines 40-50], and bind one of the network interfaces associated with a second of the plurality of virtual network machines to a sub-interface for a second virtual circuit associated with the first port [Dobbins, bind, col 12 lines 46].

16. Claim 44, Dobbins-Cisco disclose the set of instruction instructions further causes the set of processors to, bind one of the network interfaces associated with a first of the plurality of virtual network machines to a sub-interface for a first virtual circuit associated with a first port of one of the first and second plurality of ports [Cisco, sub-interface, page 1], and bind another one of the network interfaces associated with the first of the plurality of virtual network machines to a sub-interface for a second virtual circuit associated with the first port [Dobbins, bind, col 12 lines 46].

17. Claim 45 Dobbins-Cisco disclose instructions further causes the set of processors to forward, within the network domains to which the virtual network machines belong, packets received over the virtual circuits communicatively coupled with the virtual network machines out the second plurality of ports [Dobbins, a list of ports, col 12 line 62].

18. Claim 46 Dobbins-Cisco disclose the second plurality of ports is communicatively coupled to different ones of service providers and different virtual network machines have access to the different ones of the service providers [Dobbins, a plurality od resolve servers, col 21 line 23].

19. Claim 47 Dobbins-Cisco disclose An apparatus comprising:
a single network device including, a set of one or more processors [Dobbins, a NMS, Fig 16];

a plurality of ports to communicate a plurality of independent information flows of packets through the single network device between a plurality of end stations [Dobbins, Fig 5a-b, Fig 20]; and

a machine-readable medium having stored therein a set of instructions to cause the set of processors to, instantiate a plurality of virtual network machines to forward the plurality of information flows through the single network device, wherein the plurality of virtual network machines are virtually independent but share a set of physical resources within the single network device [Dobbins, VLAN having full connectivity (sharing broadcast, multicast and unicast) independent of any particular physical location, col 8 lines 17-27],

wherein each of the plurality of virtual network machines is one of a virtual router and a virtual bridge [Dobbins, bridges and routers, col 7 line 56],

wherein the plurality of virtual network machines belong to different network domains with accounting for different administrative authorities [Dobbins, secure policy, col 17 line 29],

wherein each of the virtual network machines include one or more network interfaces, and wherein each of the plurality of ports is associated with one or more sub-interface data structures [Dobins, a list of network ports, col 12 line 62], and

dynamically bind, with a plurality of binding data structures [Dobbins, dynamically bind, col 12 lines 46],

the network interfaces of each of the virtual network machines to different ones of the sub-interface data structures [Cisco, sub-interface, page 1] to couple each of the

plurality of information flows to a currently appropriate one of the plurality of virtual network machines [Dobbins, inspect current connections, col 16 lines 27-40] based on current authorization of that information flow [Dobbins, different types of services, col 15 lines 33-37], and

wherein the bindings are dynamic based on a change in the authorization of each of the plurality of information flows [Dobbins, security restrictions, col 2 line 26].

20. Claim 48, Dobbins-Cisco disclose the set of instructions further causes the set of processors to receive records associated with a plurality of virtual circuits, and each of the virtual circuits is communicatively coupled with one of said plurality of virtual network machines based on the record associated with that virtual circuit [Dobbins, Fig 19].

21. Claim 49, Dobbins-Cisco disclose the set of instructions further causes the set of processors to retrieve the records from a server that runs authentication, authorization, and accounting protocols [Dobbins, security restrictions, col 2 line 26].

22. Claim 50, Dobbins-Cisco disclose the set of instructions further causes the set of processors to change the binding of one of the virtual circuits to a different one of said plurality of virtual network machines, wherein the binding change is based on the record associated with the virtual machine [Dobbins, bind, col 12 lines 46].

23. Claim 51, Dobbins-Cisco disclose the binding change is based on time of day [Dobbins, ack Timer A4, Fig 9].

24. Claim 52, Dobbins-Cisco disclose the set of instructions further causes the set of processors to, bind one of the network interfaces associated with a first of the plurality of virtual network machines to a sub-interface data structure for a first virtual circuit associated with a first port of one of the plurality of ports [Dobbins, bind, col 12 lines 46], and bind one of the network interfaces associated with a second of the plurality of virtual network machines to a sub-interface data structure for a second virtual circuit associated with the first port [Cisco, sub-interface, page 1].

25. Claim 53 Dobbins-Cisco disclose the set of instructions further causes the set of processors to bind one of the network interfaces associated with a first of the plurality of virtual network machines to a sub-interface data structure for a first virtual circuit associated with a first port of one of the plurality of ports [Dobbins, bind, col 12 lines 46], and bind another one of the network interfaces associated with the first of the plurality of virtual network machines to a sub-interface data structure for a second virtual circuit associated with the first port [Cisco, sub-interface, page 1].

26. Claim 54, Dobbins-Cisco disclose the binding change for one of the plurality of information flows is based on change in service associated with the information flow [Dobbins, bind, col 12 lines 46].

27. Claims 6-30 contain identical limitations set forth in claims 31-54. Therefore claims 6-30 are rejected for the same rationale set forth in claims 31-54.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thong H. Vu whose telephone number is 571-272-3904. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Caldwell can be reached on 571-272-3868. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thong Vu
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2142


THONG VU
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100